

Topic	Issue	Description	Issue date	Meeting WG R&D 28/1/2021	Status CRNSP 10/3/2021	Meeting WG R&D 25/03/2021
General	Communication and authentication	<p>IT customs wants to move NCTS and PLDA to the same communication protocol. Following protocols are offered: Web services/FTP/AS2/IDcard or token. A separate meeting will be organized by the CRSNP communication providers for the members that perform communication. Descartes will take the initiative and inform the result to customs.</p> <p>A meeting with a smaller group of software providers has been scheduled. First meeting will take place on 23 November 2017.</p> <p>The members have expressed their concern in a message to the customs authorities regarding the new way of communication. The topic has a general impact on all economic operators and should be treated on a national forum level. A preliminary meeting with a small delegation of communication providers is foreseen on 6/2 10.00 h in NG probably room A13. Meeting is foreseen on 8/2/2017. Meeting Room A08.</p> <p>The report on the meeting "communicatie en authenticatie" held on 8/2 sheds more light on the resolution of this issue. We are looking forward to the next meeting on 19/4.</p> <p>No further steps were taken. The issue is temporary suspended for more urgent matters. As soon as the IT provider will reactivate the project you will be informed.</p> <p>Is there any further evolution ? What is status?</p>	23/11/2017	<p>All new declaration functionality.</p> <p>Not for eGlobalisation: this will be identical as for PLDA.</p> <p>WG has requested a specific info session about this topic for all interested parties.</p> <p>Bart will look internally to organise this.</p>	Request to schedule an info session about the new communication specs. Info during H7 info session was not sufficient.	Bart Cieters will check, there are many uncertainties, especially about the identification section.
General	Planning	Overview planning customs projects.		No update.	update?	changes are coming (NCTS + AES - planned for April - will be later) term is unknown (ASAP)
General	SLA/MoU	Proposed SLA/MoU will be discussed internally and the remarks will be shared asap	26/09/2019		update?	Bart E: no update yet, Pieter would contact Johan VD -> has this already taken place? This must be done first. Customs is waiting for input from Johan <b>action : Pieter Haesaert</b>

General	Technical documentation	<p>Following latest technical documentation was requested during the meeting before summer (XSD's, MIG's and Excel sheets):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>PLDA</li> <li>NCTS</li> <li>EMCS</li> <li>GCB</li> <li>AC4</li> <li>GCA (transshipment)</li> <li>ECS (Charge report, codeco, transfert bericht, export manifest)</li> <li>ICS (ENS)</li> <li>EIR</li> <li>Be-Gate</li> <li>Globalisatie</li> <li>Tarbel 2</li> <li>"Brexit"</li> </ul> <p>Excel sheets :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>validatieregels</li> <li>errors</li> <li>betaalwijze</li> </ul>		H7 will be clarified during H7-meeting	15 april testing too short can we obtain a decision scheme what system needs to be used in what circumstances (H7, H1, Begate)?	<p>"For the time being this date is being used. Period remains short. The final version was only published on 15/3. Existing functions are now compared with Annex B. Postponement is not allowed from the EU.</p> <p>Decision scheme is still provided by BC - there is a version in FAQ of H7 - see link</p> <p><a href="https://www.naforna.be/fr/system/files/20210304_FAQ_H7_FR_v1.pdf">https://www.naforna.be/fr/system/files/20210304_FAQ_H7_FR_v1.pdf</a></p> <p>shipments that come in error must be removed from the 'mailbag' and then treated separately -&gt; yes</p> <p>Gerrit: Clarity about VAT rates? these are all included in tarbel at the level of 6 digits -&gt; no possibility to have 2 rates if not included in tarbel.</p> <p>date of publication is requested by BC</p> <p>Specs about release of document -&gt; not foreseen - what evidence can a logistics player impose on his customer - will be checked with service legislation -&gt; <b>action BC / Gerrit "</b></p>
PLDA	Definition exporter	Definition Exporter: is there a validation rule?	28/11/2019		update?	no progress

PLDA	Amend declarations	<p>Because of new way of working, declarations that have been verified and on which anomalies have been established are left in the BTB applications in a status MRN and cannot be processed any further. In the PLDA web they are left in status "onregelmatigheden vastgesteld" Customs does not send any further messages. In practice this means that no proof or document is received from customs by the importer or exporter in order to prove the regularly imported or exported goods. On the other hand we understand that some customs offices demand a new electronic declaration without reference to the previous one, leaving the system with two declarations for the same shipment. The issue is also escalated to the WG "Algemene Bepalingen", but it is necessary that PLDA provides a uniform and automated solution (as in NL,DE..)</p> <p>An exhaustive e-mail has been sent to WG Algemene Bepalingen in order to supply a solution.</p> <p>It's correct that PLDA has to provide a uniform and automated solution, but we are also waiting for a clear guideline of our experts. As long as we don't receive this guideline, PLDA will not be changed. An internal meeting will be organized to discuss which changes to PLDA have to be done.</p>				will be included in IDMS
------	--------------------	---	--	--	--	--------------------------

PLDA	Change "Charge report" to use as "Arrival at Exit" (IE507)	<p>Next step is the transfer message between the forwarder and the handling agent. We understood from Customs that still one communication had to be set up between customs and the handling agent. Please provide state of play. When will this be ready for implementation ?</p> <p>No planning available yet. There are 4 handling agencies. In order to start the implementation we need to know their EORI number and communication provider. From 3 agencies we have already the info. A reminder has been sent to the 4th agency.</p> <p>As for Brucloud (Air) we understand that it is a limited success since users with a limited number of declarations do not want to pay for the service. In the latter case it has no added value. (taken from the report on the last meeting of the national forum).</p> <p>Please inform state of play or planning</p> <p>The solution for the transfer message can only be implemented after ticket 27924. With this ticket the use of the certificates will be reviewed in PLDA.</p> <p>Status? What is link with point 6 cuscar/TS transfer in Belgium?</p>			When does it start?	<p>Transfer message starts on 1/4 - for freight handlers. Declaration must be submitted by charge report declarants. And this as soon as the transfer to the handling agent starts. Note Martin -&gt; this is only possible after the entire shipment has been received, and only then can the charge report be submitted -&gt; there are partial shipments and the operation is not planned for this. The submitter remains responsible for partial shipments that are no longer in their possession -</p> <p><b>&gt; will be examined internally by Klara Pasgang</b></p> <p>the goal is to get an answer about this this week</p>
------	--	--	--	--	---------------------	--

PLDA	Declaration Type X	<p>The declaration cannot be sent. Always the same error. D_NO_SIMPLIFIED_DECLARATION_FOUND Geen enkele vereenvoudigde aangifte werd gevonden. In the declaration case 40 refers to the MRN of the type B declaration. Request to the customs helpdesk learns that up to today no release to a declaration type X was ever received in Belgium. Will this type of declaration will be supported in future ?</p> <p>The simplified declaration is available in PLDA. To link both declarations to each other the same LRN has to be used. Our Legal department will send me in the upcoming days the legal base of all the data elements that can be changed.</p> <p>As we understand the weight can be changed, but since the weight in a declaration for bulk cargo has its influence on the value also the value must be modified in relation to the weight. Can this be adapted ?</p> <p>Further discussion with our legal department is necessary. Planning : after Brexit.</p> <p>Is there any planning ?</p> <p>No planning yet. The meeting with our Legal department still has to be organized.</p>			When does it start?	the adjustment that the value can be changed also within IDMS -> update in status. Mainly The bulk sector has a problem with this.
PLDA	Missing exit confirmations	Examples available	20/11/2019		status?	<p>according to BC did not occur again, was an issue in France with code lists for Calais (Ferry?). Dorothy has forwarded the list to helpdesk, cause could be missing information. If everything has been submitted correctly, the process should run smoothly.</p> <p>Is an organizational problem across the entire sector -&gt; in Antwerp, statistics are compiled to detect the operators who often have to deal with this. Customs (ECS helpdesk) already has an overview of this.</p>

eGlobalisation	Timing test, go live	CRNSP proposes following approach: - Once MIG and tested example messages received, then 2 months to analyze documentation with feedback in next R&D meeting; - If MIG documentation is acceptable, then agree acceptance criteria, test scenario and planning; Eg test period: 3 months and evaluate after each test milestone. Possible with pilots. In total this may be 6 months. - Once test OK, agree date for production and transition period, at least 6 months after succesfull tests; - Agree change management and communication plan for all stakeholders within customs and trade - Production go live: 6 months after succesfull tests with transition period of 6 months	20/11/2019		On 25 September, we have received version 1.3 available? On the website it is version 1.2. It is advisable to put the latest version on the website	Today or tomorrow 1.3 will be published, difference is consignor included in it. Production is active. <b>To be archived</b>
EMCS	Certificates issue	Difficult to get certificates EMCS in particular. Several weeks. Currently manual system. Issues with mapping as well, no feedback.	20/11/2019		renewal of certificates is unclear and slow: what are the improvements? After 3 years, the certificates have to be renewed. This is resulting always in the need to use emergency procedure	BC: with EMCS this should be OK within 2 days. With PLDA -> 1 week. Martin -> certificate is retrieved from mail on request -> must be zipped, but also there problems -> mainly organizational problem because of mail traffic. Option to forward via FTP? Dorothy -> at each renewal form must be filled in -> labor intensive + send everything again <b>action Customs &gt; set up procedure for forwarding certificates by e-mail.</b>
NCTS	NCTS + EIDR message	What is the status of this change request? Request was to stop with submitting excel spreadsheets to discharge NCTS when shipment is declared for entry in customs warehouse.		Voorlopig onhold		on hold
NCTS		NCTS BE009A : referentie missing in response : Sample will be given by ABM data	1/01/2020		issues solved, to be archived	<b>to be archived</b>
TARBEL	Errors given in PLDA declarations	Tarbel asks additionnal codes 2500 or 2501 even if a preference is choosen. Samples given by Martin, see mail from christian.viaene on 29/08/2019	31/03/2016	IDMS	test environment update for TARBEL: WIP: status?	pas bij IDMS
TARBEL	Errors given in PLDA declarations	Tarbel asks U088 (Agreement with CA) for other countries that are not involved (like CH). Samples given by Martin, see mail from christian.viaene on 29/08/2019	14/02/2018	IDMS	test environment update for TARBEL: WIP: status?	only at IDMS info: customs is working on a procedure to be able to copy the data from production to the test environment
TARBEL	performance, bugs	New tarbel is very slow Some bugs, potential adverse affects financially for customs. Bugs have been notified to customs. Extraction split in several XML's: status?	20/11/2019	ED has delivered a solution. Installation is ongoing.	status?	Performance is better. Large files have been generated, but turnaround time is long (7h = 1 x per month) -> <b>this afternoon a meeting with ED.</b> Changes would go to production. The daily changes will also be included here -> together in 1 package

PLDA	automatic annulment	in case of NCTS clear off issue/write off issues, the subsequent declaration in PLDA should come into automatic annulment, but message not received, only customs error message received. The annulment of the declarations is however confirmed by email.	11/03/2020	Done		<b>to be archived</b>
NCTS	message IE045	In many cases, IE045 (exit conf voor transit) message is not received	13/05/2020			<b>BC: will inquire</b> at the helpdesk how often this still occurs - depending on the action or not
PLDA		Intracom Meerdere klanten maken melding van onderstaande fout die ze krijgen in PLDA Error NO VALID VAT NR Dit in het geval er een BTW nr gebruikt wordt, waarbij de landcode niet overeenstemt met de prefix Als een Nederlander gebruikt maakt van een Duits BTW nr, krijg je problemen. Het land stemt dan niet overeen met de prefix van de geadresseerde, en daar krijgen klanten nu een fout op.		PLDA20.6		will be in PLDA21.1. 20.6 no longer exists. 21.0 -> already first changes for IDMS (connection with PLDA) + single window
NCTS 5	Specs not clear	Unclear reference to list of customs offices of departure in NCTS5 specs	2/07/2020			JG: some code lists would be missing -> include in information session NCTS5 (lower) BC: Additional issues will be published. The functional specifications have yet to be finalized by Europe
Emergency proc	communication start/end emer	Regional forum Leuven: request was submitted to faster communicate end of emergency procedure, in particular in the weekends. Also the start timing of emergency procedure must be respected. Request to start emergency procedure at the communicated timing and immediately communicate the end of the emergency procedure when it is actually ended.	2/07/2020			is included with helpdesk. Currently no more comments from the business <b>to be archived</b>
PLDA	code Y040	code Y040: export moet je altijd een BTW nummer toevoegen. In sommige gevallen is geen Y040 nodig, bvb indien het een buitenlandse BTW plichtige is. Helpdesk stelt voor om het BTW nummer van de douane-expediteur te melden (wat uiteraard wettelijk niet juist is). Dit geval komt met name voor bij regeling 3171 (wederuitvoer uit douane-entrepot).	16/09/2020	Still to be done		Still to be done
TARBEL	Specs not clear	Meeting met European Dynamics op 28/5/2019, maar de openstaande punten zijn nog altijd niet opgelost. Elke laatste dag van de maand ontbreekt. Dus TARIC upload is niet bruikbaar. Specificaties ontbreken ook. Testomgeving niet up to date.	16/09/2020	ED has delivered a solution. Installation is ongoing.		EEG specs are needed but they are not public. <b>BC examines with Sonja Dubois</b> how these can still be distributed

Emergency proc	VIL project	feedback workshop: mid February meeting with Erik Verlinden, proposal for 10 or 11 Feb afternoon	18/11/2020		meeting with Eric Verlinden and CRNSP 10/2/2021	BC: Not involved in this project CRSNP: usefulness of initiative is questioned for PLDA. VIL would now propose this to customs -> re-record on next WG BE: final documentation would already have been communicated to private sector. <b>Project is completed -&gt; financing is now being considered</b>
eGlobalisation	testen	Error message without sequence nr in subsequent message; only LRN is mentioned	20/01/2021	Will be solved in PLDA20.5		20.5 is installed - <b>to be archived</b>
eGlobalisation	specifications	Rudi Lodewijckx will check whether eGlobalisation is also intended for customs procedure 5171, or if this procedure can be allowed with only EIDR in case it is the same autorisation holder	28/01/2021		update?	<b>BC: consulted with Rudi. BC will come back to this.</b>
EMCS	data	Missing data element alcoholic strength. Is there a mapping issue in BE EMCS? IE801 received but without these data elements to be printed. Ticket Jira 858.	20/01/2021	EMCS13.4		version in production <b>to be archived</b>
NCTS 5	specs	is it possible to organize an infossession?	20/01/2021	Will be organised	update?	BC: what needs to be explained -> large flow + planning (test /...)? <b>Action: CRNSP will list expectations</b>  customs plans meeting as soon as they receive the list
MASP	specs and project	Question from WG how the different data sets for the MASP roll out will be distributed, checked, discussed. Is it an idea to start a subwork group that continuously deals with this?	28/01/2021		update?	BC: customs are open to this BE: is also high level in WG digital strategy BC: Here we would do separate sessions to look in detail at the messages & flows + test sessions <b>action CRNSP -&gt; list content + agenda</b>
eGlobalisation	validation issue	for weekglobalisation, test system seems to validate only at end of month instead of week	10/03/2021		new topic	<b>Ticket is drawn up internally at customs.</b> Especially fond is to avoid abuse
eGlobalisation	antidumping	Customs mentioned during infossession that additional duties, such as antidumping would be accepted for eGlob. Question raised to dept legal internally in BE customs	10/03/2021		new topic	BE: Consultation at the beginning of April within customs. A number of conditions have been drawn up-> are at customs for further analysis. The aim is to submit the definitive list of conditions to BC -> <b>2nd-3rd week of April, the aim is to provide feedback</b>
eGlobalisation	average prices/customs valuation	Question about usage of average prices/customs valuation	10/03/2021		new topic	<b>action by Rudi Lodewijckx -&gt; is operational issue.</b>
eGlobalisation	omschrijving goederen	Welke omschrijving van goederen moet gebruikt worden	25/03/2021		Informatief vanuit douane	BC: this must be as described in PLDA and in the legislation -> trade description This will also have to be the case in IDMS
eGlobalisation	insturen final - aantal subsequents	foutboodschap ontvangen rond aantal aanvaardde subsequents - hoe moet dit opgelost worden	25/03/2021			<b>BC: will write query</b> so that PLDA helpdesk can handle this. Ideally, we get a list of sequence numbers received so that we can compare them with our own information
PLDA	Locatiecodes	Lijst is niet volledig/wordt niet aangeleverd	25/03/2021			<b>BC: will forward these, and set up an internal procedure</b> so that they are forwarded monthly Martin: <b>ask to forward payment methods &amp; validation rules again</b>