

Topic	Issue	Description	Issue date	Owner	Due date	Status	Status date	
General	Communication and authentication	<p>IT customs wants to move NCTS and PLDA to the same communication protocol Following protocols are offered: Web services/FTP/AS2/IDcard or token. A separate meeting will be organized by the CRSNP communication providers for the members that perform communication. Descartes will take the initiative and inform the result to customs.</p> <p>A meeting with a smaller group of software providers has been scheduled. First meeting will take place on 23 November 2017.</p> <p>The members have expressed their concern in a message to the customs authorities regarding the new way of communication. The topic has a general impact on all economic operators and should be treated on a national forum level. A preliminary meeting with a small delegation of communication providers is foreseen on 6/2 10.00 h in NG probably room A13</p> <p>Meeting is foreseen on 8/2/2017. Meeting Room A08</p> <p>The report on the meeting "communicatie en authenticatie" held on 8/2 sheds more light on the resolution of this issue. We are looking forward to the next meeting on 19/4.</p> <p>No further steps were taken. The issue is temporary suspended for more urgent matters. As soon as the IT provider will reactivate the project you will be informed.</p> <p>Is there any further evolution ? What is status? "By the end of October 2019 the AIX Servers used by NCTS and Tarbel have to be sourced out. This is the priority number 1. Once this has been done new meetings will be organized." As we understood from the brainstorming meeting of 24/10 new meetings of this WG should be planned ? When is the next meeting ? Has nothing to do with high level vision document, to our opinion.</p>	23/11/2017	Bart Cieters		<p>Assumption is no impact for software providers; For NCTS, a component will be replaced. In future, it will be replaced. No update Sjoerd: NCTS phase 5 harmonization, including PLDA will trigger changes Bart is scheduling meeting end of May with representatives Björn Bollingh, Janik Monsieurs, Ronny Aerts</p>	2/07/2020	<p>Preparatory internal meeting has been scheduled on 13/7/2020. After this internal meeting a meeting with the 3 representatives of CRSNP will be scheduled. The new communication method will be applicable gradually for all MASP project items, beginning with the H7 dataset</p>

General	Planning	Overview planning customs projects.		Chris Declerck	30/12/2019	before end of year planning will be made available for the projects for which a date is known. release planning remains in WG R&D Overview of planning was discussed during WG ICT; timing and milestones of each component need to be clarified; tbd what need to be discussed in WG ICT feedback CRNSP: is there an update of planning? Main first concern is the accumulation of changes in 1 year of the planning as presented during the WG ICT: is this realistic?	2/07/2020	H7 has been postponed with 6 months. Yearly planning is in progress. For new projects, separate specific working groups can be started. New projects, new systems would not be discussed anymore in this working group. Question is who will co-ordinate between all stakeholders?
General	requests from other National Forum WG	Are there any requests from other WG that need to be discussed in WG ICT/R&D?	20/11/2019	Bart Cieters & Pieter Haesaert		Consolidatie: schema maken, is het voorstel; RTO overbrengingsnota: idee was bericht op te maken. MIG is opgemaakt zelf, bericht is gemaakt. Is klaar om gepubliceerd te worden. Specs zijn klaar. Kissik: eerste faze in ontwikkeling. By mid	13/05/2020	Implementation transfer temporary storage will be part of PLDA20.1
General	SLA/MoU	Proposed SLA/MoU will be discussed internally and the remarks will be shares asap	26/09/2019	Chris Declerck	not known	Markup MoU/SLA received and under review for reaction	13/05/2020	Markup MoU/SLA submitted to

General	Technical documentation	<p>Following latest technical documentation was requested during the meeting before summer (XSD's, MIG's and Excel sheets):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> PLDA NCTS EMCS GCB AC4 GCA (transshipment) ECS (Charge report, codeco, transfert bericht, export manifest) ICS (ENS) EIR Be-Gate Globalisatie Tarbel 2 "Brexit" <p>Excel sheets : validatieregels errors betaalwijze</p>		Bart Cieters	30/12/2019	WIP. Adapted scheme for box 2 exporter, validation rules of SAD declaration. Specs with recent date (after mid Jan 2020) should be valid. Proposal for new web page with RSS feed functionality. Status?	13/05/2020	WIP
PLDA	Definition exporter	Definition Exporter: is there a validation rule?	28/11/2019	Bart Cieters		update?	2/07/2020	Info received but analysis has to be done. Part of PLDA20.2. Request was sent to
PLDA	Amend declarations	<p>Because of new way of working, declarations that have been verified and on which anomalies have been established are left in the BTB applications in a status MRN and cannot be processed any further. In the PLDA web they are left in status "onregelmatigheden vastgesteld" Customs does not send any further messages. In practice this means that no proof or document is received from customs by the importer or exporter in order to prove the regularly imported or exported goods. On the other hand we understand that some customs offices demand a new electronic declaration without reference to the previous one, leaving the system with two declarations for the same shipment. The issue is also escalated to the WG "Algemene Bepalingen", but it is necessary that PLDA provides a uniform and automated solution (as in NL,DE..)</p> <p>An exhaustive e-mail has been sent to WG Algemene Bepalingen in order to supply a solution.</p> <p>It's correct that PLDA has to provide a uniform and automated solution, but we are also waiting for a clear guideline of our experts. As long as we don't receive this guideline, PLDA will not be changed. An internal meeting will be organized to discuss which changes to PLDA have to be done.</p> <p>What is status?</p>		Bart Cieters	not known	WIP Status?	13/05/2020	No update

PLDA	Box 2 exporter information for import declaration	<p>Box 2 Exporter mandatory for import. In the current legislation as of the 1st September this box is mandatory. This is a big change for the traders so this change will be postponed until the 1st of March 2020</p> <p>Are the PLDA specifications be adapted, eg Enig Document? Not yet today! For which customs procedures and validation rules? Communication plan to private sector? Communication internally customs?</p> <p>+ Additional question: In case the goods are being placed under IPR in member state nr 1 (procedure 5100) and are being sold to and processed by a buyer in member state nr 2 (in this case in Belgium) (procedure 5154), which consignor needs to be mentioned for the buyer in member state 2? His supplier or the consignor, who sold the goods (to member state 1) before they entered the Union.</p>	28/11/2019	Bart Cieters	1/03/2019	<p>Adapted XSD scheme will be provided by mid December 2019. Currently only on header level, but should be made available on item level. Until 28/2 the exporter may be included in the message and as of 1/3 it will be obligatory. For declarations with procedure code 4071 this data element will be required. For Globalisation, this was currently not yet foreseen. Must be checked. No update, XSD missing, and consignor must be added at item level update?</p> <p>Postponed to May 1, still questions about requirements; Is box 2 information for a 4071</p>	13/05/2020	Accordinging legislation it is mandatory for toelichting H. Will also be mandatory for globalisation.
PLDA	Change "Charge report" to use as "Arrival at Exit" (IE507)	<p>Next step is the transfer message between the forwarder and the handling agent. We understood from Customs that still one communication had to be set up between customs and the handling agent. Please provide state of play. When will this be ready for implementation ?</p> <p>No planning available yet. There are 4 handling agencies. In order to start the implementation we need to know their EORI number and communication provider. From 3 agencies we have already the info. A reminder has been sent to the 4th agency.</p> <p>As for Brucloud (Air) we understand that it is a limited success since users with al limited number of declarations do not want to pay for the service. In the latter case it has no added value. (taken from the report on the last meeting of the national forum). Please inform state of play or planning</p> <p>The solution for the transfer message can only be implemented after ticket 27924. With this ticket the use of the certificates will reviewed in PLDA. Status? What is link with point 6 cuscar/TS transfer in Belgium?</p>		Bart Cieters	31/01/2020	<p>Scheduled in PLDA 18.6 for January 2020 Status?</p> <p>Charge report can be sent. But still not clear when the 'goederenbehandelaars' will receive the messages. Can the flow be documented? When will it become obligatory?</p>	13/05/2020	Url's to sent the info have been requested to the goederenbehandelaars. We haven't received all url's yet.

PLDA	Cuscar - TS transfer in Belgium	No changes have been planned for the CUSCAR messages. Probably the EIR-message will be used for the movement between temporary storage locations. Are there any changes foreseen to the EIR-message ?		Bart Cieters		A message is developed. An adapted XSD will be made available by mid December. Will be in production Q2 2020. WG Binnenbrengen will be informed. Status?	2/07/2020	Implementation transfer temporary storage will be part of PLDA20.1 MIG and XSD schemes published. Additional remarks: miniscar will not be abolished, will continue to be applicable until new 'goederencomptabiliteit' system under MASP
PLDA	Declaration Type X	The declaration cannot be sent. Always the same error. D_NO_SIMPLIFIED_DECLARATION_FOUND Geen enkele vereenvoudigde aangifte werd gevonden. In the declaration case 40 refers to the MRN of the type B declaration. Request to the customs helpdesk learns that up to today no release to a declaration type X was ever received in Belgium. Will this type of declaration will be supported in future ? The simplified declaration is available in PLDA. To link both declarations to each other the same LRN has to be used. Our Legal department will send me in the upcoming days the legal base of all the data elements that can be changed. As we understand the weight can be changed, but since the weight in a declaration for bulk cargo has its influence on the value also the value must be modified in relation to the weight. Can this be adapted ? Further discussion with our legal department is necessary. Planning : after Brexit. Is there any planning ? No planning yet. The meeting with our Legal department still has to be organized.		Bart Cieters		WIP. Status?	13/05/2020	No update.
PLDA	Errors PLDA	New statistics? First remove bug with type D declarations to see improvements.		Bart Cieters		New statistics will be added to report. Compared with Jan/Feb to previous quarter: decrease of 17% less errors. Error 'goods not arrived' 37% down.	2/07/2020	See new tab. Significant reduction of errors 'goods not arrived', probably due to increased usage of
PLDA	IPR issue for quarterly globalisation	EIDR authorisation is required for PLDA but is legally not required and regional directorate refuses to deliver EIDR authorisations in certain cases. C4T would receive a dummy authorisation code to test	Q1 2018	Bart Cieters		no dummy autorisation received until now; WIP, Bart will appoint colleague to take this up. Sonja Dubois checkt	2/07/2020	Solution via ticket MSPPLDA-2519. Part of PLDA20.1 expected end of August

PLDA	Missing exit confirmations	Examples available	20/11/2019	Bart Cieters	not known	<p>Must be monitored over a longer period to evaluate success of changes</p> <p>Frank has sent a list of export declarations in October. There were 2 specific days with a communication problem. For the new ones, it should also be returned</p> <p>There are still cases that no exit confirmation are received, although the declaration is in status exit confirmed on the</p>	2/07/2020	<p>New examples have been investigated. At the moment of the confirmation of exit there was a problem with the signing of the messages. I have verified all the sent messages of May 2020 and I didn't find the error anymore. I can only assume that the problem has been solved.</p> <p>Problemen vorige week, voorbeelden</p>
BREXIT	follow up changes	Brexit : any changes forseen ?	1/01/2020			update?	13/05/2020	Updates for Import, Export and Transit Countrycode Nothern
eGlobalisation	Documentation	The MIG will be made available asap	23/11/2017	Bart Cieters	not known	<p>MIG received and reviewed by CRSNP. Remarks and comments will be sent 11 March</p> <p>Answer received May 11,</p>	2/07/2020	MIG adapted and sent by Bart to eGlobalisation participants 2/7/2020
eGlobalisation	Timing test, go live	<p>CRNSP proposes following approach:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Once MIG and tested example messages received, then 2 months to analyze documentation with feedback in next R&D meeting; - If MIG documentation is acceptable, then agree acceptance criteria, test scenario and planning; Eg test period: 3 months and evaluate after each test milestone. Possible with pilots. In total this may be 6 months. - Once test OK, agree date for production and transition period, at least 6 months after succesfull tests; - Agree change management and communication plan for all stakeholders within customs and trade - Production go live: 6 months after succesfull tests with transition period of 6 months 	20/11/2019	Bart Cieters	not known	<p>All economic operators with autorisation for periodic supplementary declaration will be informed and will be asked when it is feasible to switch to new system. Bart will inform the WG when the communication will take place. Not yet decided who will communicate.</p> <p>Bart can agree with the proposed timing approach.</p> <p>update on planning during</p>	2/07/2020	<p>Customs decided to first send letters to the globalisation autorisation holders to request their readiness for eGlobalisation. Based upon the feedback from the autorisation holders, customs will decide upon the timeline.</p>
EMCS	Certificates issue	Difficult to get certificates EMCS in particular. Several weeks. Currently manual system. Issues with mapping as well, no feedback.	20/11/2019	Sjoerd		<p>Acceptable procedure should be that request for certificate is at the latest the week after installed. Sjoerd will check what went wrong and will provide feedback Ann has sent the samples, no update</p>	13/05/2020	No update available

GCB	GCB issue	<p>There is already a long issue with partial arrivals in the GCB administration. If the shipment arrives with 3 separate flights, the first one seems no further written off and the customs systems seems to loose the data. This issue is under investigation. Ticket 31856 has been created.</p> <p>Please report state of play.</p> <p>Will be part of PLDA18.2 or PLDA18.3</p> <p>Not part of PLDA19.1 Status?</p>				Scheduled for release 19.1. Frank will provide example message. SSW has send a sample, no update Update?	13/05/2020	I have received an update and I'll contact SSW with further info.
NCTS	NCTS + EIDR message	What is the status of this change request?		Bart Cieters		WIP. No update Update?	13/05/2020	No Update
NCTS	NCTS without reply	<p>Potentially linked to missing validation errors</p> <p>If special character in name of person, then no answer</p> <p>If two times previous document, should receive a validation error instead of no answer</p> <p>If description is too long, no answer</p> <p>If wrong office of transit, exit, no answer</p>	20/11/2019	Lindsey Jacobs Sjoerd		If no tickets, Martin will be contacted for examples. Sample for special char given, no update. Exit office works special characters: solution is identified. New version will be testable, in NCTS 10.3 in	13/05/2020	Was part 10.3 but has been removed due to problems in PROD. Is now part of NCTS10.5
NCTS	Unloading message only after 24hr in Hasselt	<p>NCTS normal procedure</p> <p>IE43 unloading permission is not sent by customs, only standard after 24 hrs. Message IE025 is waiting 1 day, this means, the operator is not allowed to unload the truck and submit the subsequent customs declaration until IE25 is received.</p> <p>Normale procedure</p> <p>"https://financien.belgium.be/sites/default/files/Helpdesk_PLDA/NCTS-Fiscus/notes_nl_sectie_iii_procedure.pdf"</p> <p>"Nadat het douanevervoer is toegekomen ter bestemming, wordt het kantoor van bestemming door de economische operator ter bestemming hiervan verwittigd met de kennisgeving van aankomst E_ARR_NOT (IE07). Indien het kantoor van bestemming de aankomst van het douanevervoer aanvaardt, wordt het kantoor van vertrek door het kantoor van bestemming hiervan op de hoogte gebracht met een C_ARR_ADV (IE06).</p> <p>Na de controlefase ter bestemming, waarbinnen een controle van de goederen kan plaatsvinden, geeft het kantoor van bestemming de goederen vrij van transit en verwittigt de economische operator ter bestemming hiervan met een E_GDS_REL (IE25). Terzelfder tijd worden de controleresultaten ter bestemming door het kantoor van bestemming verzonden naar het kantoor van vertrek met de C_DES_CON (IE18). "</p>				must be transferred to the WG Special Procedures to be checked with Bart Engels: status update?	13/05/2020	
NCTS		NCTS BE009A : referentie missing in response : Sample will be given by ABM data	1/01/2020			New topic problem exist already since a long time, is under investigation (Frank)	13/05/2020	Will be part of NCTS10.6

TARBEL	Errors given in PLDA declarations	Tarbel asks additional codes 2500 or 2501 even if a preference is chosen. Samples given by Martin, see mail from christian.viaene on 29/08/2019	31/03/2016	Sonia		New topic, old request Problem is still existing, status?	13/05/2020	
TARBEL	Errors given in PLDA declarations	Tarbel asks additional calculation codes FA/FB/FF, but they do not appear on the Tarbel and not in the extract. Samples given by Martin, see mail from christian.viaene on 29/08/2019	15/12/2017	Sonia		New topic, old request this is info from PLDA. Codes available on website additional calculation codes. Should be mentioned in declaration validation rules. Bart will provide the info (forfaitaire)	13/05/2020	ITEM_0176, ITEM_0177. These codes are linked to measure 490 in Tarbel.
TARBEL	Errors given in PLDA declarations	Tarbel asks U088 (Agreement with CA) for other countries that are not involved (like CH). Samples given by Martin, see mail from christian.viaene on 29/08/2019	14/02/2018	Sonia		New topic, old request Status?	13/05/2020	
TARBEL	performance, bugs	New tarbel is very slow Some bugs, potential adverse affects financially for customs. Bugs have been notified to customs. Extraction split in several XML's: status?	20/11/2019	Bart Cieters	not known	For extractions, bugs and extraction split, there were budget issues, will be resolved with change requests next year. Full extract crashes every month, last day of month extract always missing Budget was needed. Budget is available. But, first priority of	13/05/2020	European Dynamics is working on E-commerce.
PLDA	automatic annulment	in case of NCTS clear off issue/write off issues, the subsequent declaration in PLDA should come into automatic annulment, but message not received, only customs error message received. The annulment of the declarations is however confirmed by email.	11/03/2020	TBD		Update?	2/07/2020	Ticket MSPPLDA-2480 has been created to allow to use the LRN with another message. Ticket
PLDA	national codes	For different documents, authorisations there exist a double national code, besides the UCC codes. Proposal to remove national codes if UCC code exists	11/03/2020	TBD		Update?	13/05/2020	Normally the national codes are more specific than the European codes
BeGate	Specs not clear	Brucargo and Luik not with identical specs. According to GlobalSign, certificate as mentioned in MIG is not possible	13/05/2020	TBD		New topic	13/05/2020	According Crossroads it is identical. Archive?
NCTS	message IE045	In many cases, IE045 message is not received	13/05/2020	TBD		New topic	2/07/2020	Helpdesk has been contacted and no recent examples are available. However, it appears that there were issues in
eGlobalisation	Specs not clear	when update of MIG? Some fields should not be included.	13/05/2020	Bart Cieters		New topic	13/05/2020	
eGlobalisation	Specs not clear	Response messages. According to Bart, the response messages should be equal to SAD Response. Need for more detail, in particular for list of error messages. The SAD Response cannot be equal to the response message for eGlobalisation (eg sequence nr).	13/05/2020	Bart Cieters		New topic	13/05/2020	
eGlobalisation		List of validation errors: when will it be published?	13/05/2020	Bart Cieters		New topic	13/05/2020	
eGlobalisation		Deferral payment not accepted as payment method. Always type A to be mentioned as payment method: or is only to be mentioned for the end message?	13/05/2020	Bart Cieters		New topic	13/05/2020	
eGlobalisation		Seals is niet required. Is optioneel, maar mag in het initbericht niet vermeld worden.: volgens ons moet dit verwijderd worden. Lijkt niet van toepassing voor globalisatie	13/05/2020	Bart Cieters		New topic	13/05/2020	

eGlobalisation		Code 53 is not allowed: is this code in any case allowed? Only for Init message or also for sequential messages?	13/05/2020	Bart Cieters		New topic	13/05/2020	
eGlobalisation		SADGloblImportInit cannot be annulled. Question: can this message be adapted or corrected?	13/05/2020	Bart Cieters		New topic	13/05/2020	
eGlobalisation		Timing: to be discussed in subworking group eGlobalisation	13/05/2020	Bart Cieters		New topic	13/05/2020	
eGlobalisation		Testing: proposal from CRNSP: shadow messages for real clients by software providers. This could give trust to all stakeholders (customs control departments, customs ICT, autorisation holders and software providers.	13/05/2020	Bart Cieters		New topic	13/05/2020	
PLDA		Intracom Meerdere klanten maken melding van onderstaande fout die ze krijgen in PLDA Error NO VALID VAT NR Dit in het geval er een BTW nr gebruikt wordt, waarbij de landcode niet overeenstemt met de prefix Als een Nederlander gebruikt maakt van een Duits BTW nr, krijg je problemen. Het land stemt dan niet overeen met de prefix van de geadresseerde, en daar krijgen klanten nu een fout op.		Bart Cieters		New topic		Ticket created Part of PLDA20.2
PLDA		INF portal not available for Belgium		Bart Cieters		New topic	2/07/2020	INF portal would become available beginning of August. There will be a
NCTS	Specs not clear	Unclear reference to list of customs offices of departure in NCTS5 specs	2/07/2020			New topic	2/07/2020	to be checked
Emergency procedures	communication start/end emergency procedure	Regional forum Leuven: request was submitted to faster communicate end of emergency procedure, in particular in the weekends. Also the start timing of emergency procedure must be respected. Request to start emergency procedure at the communicated timing and immediately communicate the end of the emergency procedure when it is actually ended.	2/07/2020	Sophany		New topic	2/07/2020	Sophany/Bart will check internally with Helpdesk
Brexit	availability of test environment for Brexit	When will test environment be available for Brexit tests?	2/07/2020	Bart Cieters		New topic	2/07/2020	According to Bart, probably first the second test environment will be used for Brexit testing. Bart will communicate
PLDA	exit confirmation	Issue raised in relation to lost exit confirmation messages in PLDA. It relates to export declarations in period Feb-beginning March 2018. Although exit confirmation was received, export declarations have been annulled by customs.	2/07/2020	Bart Cieters		New topic	2/07/2020	There must be a trace of the exit confirmations. MRN's to be sent to Bart. Bart will ask to